

City Council Issue Matrix			
Requests/Feedback for Upcoming Study Session on RMC Updates			
Date	Issue/Request	Response	Next Steps
06/03/2025	<b>CM Fields:</b> Highlight those changes that will have specific impacts to residents or business owners for Council discussion. State the impacts.	Substantive items and the specific impacts will be the focus of the discussion brought forward at the study session.	
	<b>CM Salahuddin:</b> Provide comparisons to neighboring jurisdictions.	As part of the code review, staff looked at other city municipalities' municipal code to compare relevant chapters. Each jurisdiction's code is structured different, and the review has included an evaluation of how broad or detailed is appropriate for the RMC.	
	<b>CM Kritzer:</b> Be clear about substantive versus administrative changes and bring the substantive ones to the top for discussion.	Substantive items and impacts will be the focus of the discussions brought forward at the study session. Rearranged tables so that substantive items are on top.	
	<p><b>CM Stuart:</b> If staff has new draft to share, feel free to send it to Council early for review.</p> <p>How do these changes implement Redmond 2050 plan? How do they relate to the TMP?</p> <p>Make sure to collaborate with other departments.</p> <p>Made offer to help bring code changes to Redmond Chamber of Commerce via Government Affairs Committee.</p>	Proposed changes align with Redmond 2050 Transportation Policies (FW-TR-1) by increasing clarity and streaming zoning and municipal codes and (TR-10, TR-18, TR-49) by adding language on micromobility. Changes also aligns with Redmond 2050 Equity Policy (FW-PI-1) by removing gendered language.	

## City Council Issue Matrix

### Requests/Feedback for Upcoming Study Session on RMC Updates

Date	Issue/Request	Response	Next Steps
		<p>Staff is working cross-departmentally to review code changes in relation to the TMP and will discuss during the study session.</p> <p>Staff has collaborated cross-departmentally on code revisions.</p>	
	<p><b>CM Nuevacamina:</b> Need to consider how updates to fees and fines impact residents and businesses.</p>	<p>There are no new fees with this update. Staff deleted the following sentence previously proposed under Section 12.08.070: “Each day that violations exist shall be regarded as a new and separate offense.” as this is duplicative of the existing referenced Section 1.01.110.</p> <p>The new section 12.08.066 for Unpermitted Work specifies that the responsible party will be liable for the costs incurred if there is no action is taken and the City performs the required removal and restoration.</p>	
	<p><b>CM Forsythe:</b> Emailed the following questions:                      Vehicles &amp; Traffic Section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rules of the road section states bikes must follow the same laws as vehicles. This supersedes the new state law (March 18, 2020) that allows bikes to do a rolling stop/yield when safe. Amend to address this state update.</li> </ul>	<p>Vehicles &amp; Traffic:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provisions B and C of RMC 10.04.050 updated to reflect language similar to RCW.46.61.190 stating a bicycle approaching a stop sign</li> </ul>	

## City Council Issue Matrix

### Requests/Feedback for Upcoming Study Session on RMC Updates

Date	Issue/Request	Response	Next Steps
	<a href="https://sdotblog.seattle.gov/2020/09/30/washington-states-new-bicycle-safety-stop-law-allows-people-biking-to-treat-stops-signs-as-yield-signs-with-some-exceptions/">https://sdotblog.seattle.gov/2020/09/30/washington-states-new-bicycle-safety-stop-law-allows-people-biking-to-treat-stops-signs-as-yield-signs-with-some-exceptions/</a>	must either stop or treat the stop sign as a yield sign.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10.20.020 address if “devices” includes camera surveillance devices in the legal review</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Traffic monitoring cameras which do not include surveillance capabilities are not considered traffic control devices. A definition for traffic control devices is incorporated in RMC 10.08 and provision 19 added to RMC 10.16.020 to include traffic monitoring cameras installation as part of the duties of the City Traffic Engineer.</li> <li>- 12.09.020.B specifies allowable uses for fixed items in the right-of-way are equipment or devices intended for use by public safety or police personnel that have approved by Council.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Add reference to Redmond Police Data Governance Policy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The citywide data policy will come to Council in 2025. Reference to be incorporated once citywide data policy is approved by Council.</li> </ul>	

## City Council Issue Matrix

### Requests/Feedback for Upcoming Study Session on RMC Updates

Date	Issue/Request	Response	Next Steps
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Pedestrian section still feels very driver centric, could we add a reference to drivers not entering crosswalks while pedestrians are present</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Added language to 10.44.020 provision A for drivers to stop for pedestrians in crosswalks.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10.52.135 PARKING FEES Interested in an in-depth review of this section</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- No changes are proposed for parking fees. Administrative change to remove references to geographic locations.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10.52.190 possible to include ADA spaces to this?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- RMC 10.52.090 is for parked vehicles not to obstruct sidewalks. Added under provision 5 of RMC 10.52.135 for the City Traffic Engineer to designate ADA parking.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 10.52.215 Pets in cars. How are we accounting for the new technology in cars that keep cars warm or cool while pets are inside?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Incorporated adequate ventilation to the language.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I didn't catch a violation for parking in bike lanes, did I miss it? If not, can we please add that</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Included designated bike lanes to language on RMC 10.52.100.</li> </ul>	
	<p>Streets and Right of Way</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Refusal of Application – Speak to an appeals process</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- There is an existing appeal process for ROW Use Permits as Type I permits. This is stated in RZC 21.76.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fixed items in Right of Way and section in general – I'd like to see a crosswalk of how this relates/impacts the recent council Right of Way policy that was approved. How is it different, how is it the same. Any possible unintended impacts.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The new section 12.09 <i>Fixed Items in the Right of Way</i> affirms and authorizes placement, installation or use of items in the public right of way that serve a public benefit and outlines a process. The</li> </ul>	

### City Council Issue Matrix

Requests/Feedback for Upcoming Study Session on RMC Updates

Date	Issue/Request	Response	Next Steps
		<p>new section 12.13 <i>Emergency Right of Way Use</i> establishes procedures for entities to conduct emergency activities within the public right of way, ensuring immediate response to urgent situations. In combination, these two sections address sections of the recent council Right of Way policy. One difference is that 12.09 is not limited to only barriers.</p>	