

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

Updated 11/20/2018 at 5:00 PM		
Issue	Discussion	Status
1.) CIP – General Question What considerations are being made for life cycle costs and carbon foot print reductions in the proposed CIP? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> <u>Per Cathy Beam</u> Life Cycle Costs: The projects where we’ve calculated life cycle costs include our newer energy efficiency projects: Street Lighting, Solar, Public Safety Building ESCO Contracts for Energy Efficiency Improvements and Lighting. Moving forward we will be looking at the life cycle costs for the projects in our Facilities Strategic Management Plan. Carbon Footprint: We have a baseline from 2008 and we update it annually for fleet, buildings and facilities, streetlights and traffic signals, trash and recycling. We use a software program SCOPE5. Other: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Climate Action Plan & Comprehensive Plan have policies on sustainability including sustainable construction techniques. In the last update of the Comprehensive Plan, we added a section dedicated to Sustainability. • SEPA Checklist – GHG Emissions worksheet required for all projects. 	Closed
2.) CIP – General Question How resilient do we believe the City’s infrastructure to be and how is that information being communicated in the proposed CIP? What is the City’s definition of resiliency and how is it measured? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The City is continuing to make progress on its infrastructure systems including facilities, utilities and transportation systems. These projects are often identified as seismic upgrades and rehabilitations to demonstrate the need to enhance these systems to ensure continuity of service in the case of disasters. While all systems may not be 100% resilient the City continues to identify deficiencies through our formal and informal system inspections and recommends investments through the CIP. The City has no adopted definition of resiliency. The standard measurements for resiliency is different based on various types of systems (transportation, water, wastewater, building etc.). As the City updates design standards we will look at developing a definition that focuses on continuity of services after significant events such as a natural disaster, impact	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	of climate change, life cycle and functional flexibility.	
<p>3.) Adaptive Signal Project – Downtown (page 244)</p> <p>What are the locations being addressed with this project?</p> <p>Can the following intersections be addressed with this project and if not when are they scheduled for improvement?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Willows and Redmond Way • Golds Gym and Union Hill Rd • 180th by Costco • West Lake Sammamish Way • Intersections outside of the green and blue areas on the map provided <p>(Councilmember Carson)</p> <p>What are the pedestrian and bicycle impacts for the project and is there a ped/bike priority?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redmond Way at <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Bear Creek Parkway ○ 160th Avenue NE ○ 161st Avenue NE ○ Leary Way ○ 164th Avenue NE ○ 166th Avenue NE ○ 168th Avenue NE ○ Avondale Way ○ 170th Avenue NE ○ Bear Creek Crossing • Cleveland Street at <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 161st Avenue NE ○ Leary Way ○ 164th Avenue NE ○ 166th Avenue NE • Bear Creek Parkway at <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 161st Avenue NE ○ Leary Way ○ NE 74th Street ○ NE 76th Street / 170th Avenue NE • NE 80th Street / 164th Avenue NE • NE 79th Street / Avondale Way / 170th Avenue NE • Leary Way / 159th Place NE 	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices



Dependent on software selection and required hardware upgrades, if project costs are lower than expected, we hope to extend the adaptive signals to include additional intersections shown in green along 166th Avenue NE, NE 85th Street, and NE 90th Street.

- The additional intersections suggested by Councilmember Carson would certainly be considered for inclusion in future phases of this project. The initial goal is to test out the adaptive system in the downtown area in conjunction with the two-way street conversion to improve overall traffic circulation. In addition, with a smaller number of intersections staff can learn how best to use the adaptive signal system and to measure the system's effectiveness before expanding it to other areas.
- This adaptive signal system will be neutral in its effect on pedestrians and cyclists. Operational changes with the adaptive signal project will continue to provide good service for active transportation modes including walking and biking. We generally will not expect the system will prioritize modes over one another. Traffic in each movement or direction will be given an opportunity to proceed (green light or walk indication) during each "cycle." An adaptive system will vary the cycle length depending on traffic conditions.

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>4.) CIP – General Question</p> <p>Can staff provide a list of projects related to Light Rail?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sidewalk Repair Project – Cleveland Street (164th Avenue to 168th Avenue) • Sidewalk Repair Project – 164th Avenue (Redmond Way to 90th Street) • Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge – Redmond Technology Station • Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge – Overlake Village Station • Sound Transit East Link 3 • 152nd Avenue NE Improvements (24th Street to 28th Street) • Water Main Replacement (NE 51st Street Crossing of State Route 520) • Pedestrian and Bicycle Access – 40th Street • Pressure Reducing Valve and Meter Replacement (NE 51st Street at State Route 520) • 31st Street (148th Avenue to Overlake Village Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge) • Shared Use Path – 156th Avenue (28th Street to 40th Street) • East Lake Sammamish River Trail to Redmond Central Connector trail • NE 70th Street Improvements (Redmond Way to 173rd Avenue) • Redmond Way Bridge Modification and 76th Street Widening • Pump Station 13 Replacement • Intersection Improvement – Redmond Way and East Lake Sammamish Parkway • Sidewalk Installation – 176th Avenue (65th Street to 70th Street) • Pump Station 12 Upgrades • Marymoor Village NE 70th Street Force Main 	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>5.) CIP – General Question</p> <p>What criteria was used to prioritize the proposed CIP projects?</p> <p>How was the criteria applied to each project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The CIS process uses both thematic strategies and criteria to build and prioritize the projects across functional area lines. The criteria include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminates or significantly reduces risk or addresses health and/or life safety conditions • Responsive to a substandard physical condition • Aligns with time-sensitive schedules of private and/or public partnerships • Federal or state mandate with hard deadlines • Supports Council initiatives • Status of project in the current 2017-2022 Capital Investment Program • Impact to grant funding if not included in 2019-2024 Capital Investment Program. <p><u>Please see attachments:</u></p> <p>Attachment B – 2019-2024 CIS Project Ranking</p> <p>Attachment C – Civic Results Team and Boards and Commissions Feedback</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>6.) CIP – General Question</p> <p>Why is there an increase in the number of projects for seismic improvements?</p> <p>What level on the seismic code is the City striving to achieve?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The facilities are being evaluated in accordance with the American Society of Civil Engineers Standard 41-13 Seismic Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings. The assessment is intended to evaluate the ability of a building to resist added seismic loads and identify deficiencies that may exist. They are evaluating the facilities and designing to a higher performance standard to allow the facilities to remain operational after a 500-year seismic event on the Seattle Fault, which is most proximate to Redmond.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>7.) Community Facility District 2016 Project – NE 51st Multimodal Improvements (page 251)</p> <p>a.) Will bicyclists continue to share the roadway with vehicles?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p> <p>b.) What is the status of the project? What has the \$900K spent on and what has been achieved?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) The project adds bike lanes.</p> <p>b.) Project will complete 100% design in 2018 and construction in summer/autumn 2019. The \$900K completed 60% design, defined scope and specification and better cost estimates for construction of the project.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>8.) CIP – General Question</p> <p>Can staff provide the funding sources and project drivers for the proposed General Government CIP projects over \$10M?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields) (Councilmember Myers) (Councilmember Birney)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p><u>Public Safety Building (PSB) Phase II</u></p> <p><u>Driver:</u> The prioritization criteria included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The facility was due for mid-life investments (constructed in 1989) • The building operates 24/7. The building function is necessary to support emergency services • Several of the mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems are reaching the end of their useful life and are beginning to fail • This project was prioritized in the facilities master plan <p>The Public Safety Building supports life safety services to the community and is in need of mid-life renovations. The first phase of the renovation, accomplished last biennium, included repair of the building envelop, seismic upgrades, and some of the</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<p>mechanical/electrical systems, such as heat pumps, but the work was phased due to funding considerations. The second phase of the project will address the remaining mechanical, plumbing and electrical improvements. Specifically, they include the remaining heat pumps, cooling tower, transformer, distribution panels, air handling unit, boilers, hot water heaters, fans, vertical transportation improvements and building automation systems.</p> <p><u>Public Safety Building Funding: \$12,615,750</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Real Estate Excise Tax - \$7,000,000 • Sales Tax on Construction - \$5,615,750 <p><u>Senior Center Renovation and Seismic Upgrades</u></p> <p><u>Driver:</u> This project is of importance, due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The building was due for mid-life investments (constructed in 1989) • The building operates 12/7 • Several of the mechanical, electrical and plumbing systems are beginning to fail • The building accommodates more than 45,000 community visits per year • The building is a high priority in the Facility Master Plan. <p>Mid-life renovation of the Senior Center includes the building envelope, mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP) systems.</p> <p><u>Senior Center Funding - \$14,980,350</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Fund - \$6,745,549 • Real Estate Excise Tax - \$8,234,801 <p><u>Community Center</u></p> <p><u>Driver:</u> The City is currently leasing Community Center facilities through the Lake Washington Institute of Technology (LWIT). In 2019, the city will continue community involvement, planning and developing partnerships to evaluate the best option for the</p>	
--	--	--

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<p>community center and will evaluate acquiring and expanding the Community Center at Marymoor Village at the LWIT Redmond Campus, building on a city-owned property, and other partnership options.</p> <p><u>Community Center Funding: \$29,912,400</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential bond issuance <p><u>152nd Avenue NE Improvements (24th Street to 28th Street)</u></p> <p><u>Driver:</u> This is in compliance with Overlake Neighborhood Plan approved by Council in 2007. In 2011, the project was planned and approved as a retail/main street for Overlake Village as part of the Overlake Village Street design guidelines. In 2013, TMP declared development of two Main streets for the City of which 152nd was one of them. In 2013 the City conditioned Esterra Park to construct a portion of the improvements on the east side of 152nd (from 26th to 28th). Scheduled to complete ROW by 2020 and construction by 2022 to coordinate with the completion of the Overlake Access ramp and opening of light rail to passenger service in 2023.</p> <p><u>152nd Avenue NE Improvements Funding: \$15,849,000 total project costs</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Federal Grants - \$9,275,000 • Sound Transit - \$1,338,822 • Impact Fees - \$4,174,164 • Business Tax - \$1,032,342 • Real Estate Excise Tax - \$28,672 <p><u>State Route 520 Trail Grade Separation at NE 40th Street</u></p> <p><u>Driver:</u> Safety and mobility improvement for bikers and pedestrians on the regional trail and vehicles on NE 40th Street.</p> <p><u>State Route 520 Trail Grade Separation 40th Street Funding: \$16,331,048 total project cost</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General Fund - \$203,382 	
--	--	--

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Connecting Washington - \$10,700,000 • Community Facilities District - \$5,427,666 	
<p>9.) Pedestrian and Bicycle Access – 40th Street (page 252)</p> <p>What is the scope of the project?</p> <p>Can the project be bundled with other proposed projects?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Scope:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extend shared use path on south side of 40th Street from the Redmond Technology Station east to 163rd Avenue 2. Repair sidewalk on 40th Street from 163rd Avenue to Bel-Red Road 3. Re-channelize 40th Street from 163rd Avenue to 172nd Avenue <p>This project has a federal grant and therefore has a higher cost of compliance. Additional scope would be at a higher cost due to all work needing to meet federal compliance requirements.</p> <p>Three projects have already been bundled.</p>	Closed
<p>10.) Shared Use Path – 156th Avenue (28th Street to 40th Street) (page 254)</p> <p>Can staff provide information on the driver for this project and the project funding?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p> <p>Is there a way that the City can utilize the existing infrastructure?</p> <p>(Councilmember Carson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Driver: Coordinates with Microsoft Refresh project and fills in missing gaps to complete the entire path as identified in the Transportation Master Plan.</p> <p>Funding: \$3,344,758</p> <p>Business Tax - \$1,900,032</p> <p>Motor Vehicle Excise Tax - \$1,444,726</p> <p>The existing eight-foot sidewalk does not meet the standard for a shared use path, and large portions will be disrupted and reconstructed as part of the Microsoft Refresh project. This project will ensure adjacent continuity by building areas not being constructed by Microsoft.</p>	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>11.) Overlake Village Park (page 253) and Overlake Village Stormwater Vault (page 254)</p> <p>Can staff clarify the project location and scope?</p> <p>(Councilmember Margeson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Overlake Village Stormwater Vault is located west of 152nd Avenue NE between NE 26th Street and 27th Street. The Overlake Village Park will be constructed on top of this stormwater vault once this facility is completed.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>12.) NE 95th Street Bridge Replacement – Bear Creek Large Woody Debris (page 258)</p> <p>What is the scope of the project and the project driver?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Driver: Mitigation for repairs done to NE 95th Street Bridge as required by Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.</p> <p>Scope: Adding large woody debris to channel and grading the stream to improve habitat.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>13.) NE 95th Street Bridge Replacement (page 258)</p> <p>Will there be a sidewalk on the bridge?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The current scope of the project includes sidewalks.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>14.) Evans Creek Relocation (page 260)</p> <p>What is the status of the project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Status: City is in final phase of court required mediation to acquire the property.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>15.) 152nd Avenue NE Improvements (24th Street to 28th Street) (page 251)</p> <p>A.) Will this be the first cycle track in Redmond?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p> <p>B.) Are there discretionary dollars in the funding of this project that can be reallocated to other projects?</p> <p>(Councilmember Carson)</p> <p>C.) What would be the financial and project impacts be if the on-street parking was removed from the scope of the project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) Yes, this will be the first cycle track in Redmond.</p> <p>b.) There is no discretionary funding for this project that can be reallocated to other projects. The project has three federal grants and discretionary City funds were used for the mandatory grant funding match. If a reduction in project scope and cost occurred those funds could only be used on other transportation projects.</p> <p>c.) On street parking was included in the scope of work that the grant was awarded for. Removal of the parking would jeopardize the grant funding and the City would risk repayment of all grant funding expended to date, approximately \$750,000.</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>16.) CIP – General Question</p> <p>Can staff provide the Staff Report presented to Council on the project delivery plan for the wastewater pump station project?</p> <p>(All)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p><u>Wastewater Pump Stations – One Pager</u> See Attachment A or use the following link http://www.redmond.gov/common/pages/UserFile.aspx?fileId=242130</p>	<p>Closed</p>
<p>17.) Bear Creek Rehabilitation at Keller Farm (page 261)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>Was community outreach done for this project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p>This work on Bear Creek was originally considered to be part of the Keller Farm Wetland Bank development, but was broken out as a separate City and grant funded project due to permitting issues. We have communicated with adjacent properties including - BCDD1 potential developers, Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT), Avondale Green and Friendly Village, but have not hosted any formal community meetings or outreach on the project. The project is at 30% design, and is entirely within City owned property or easements, and adjacent to the WSDOT wetland bank. Work on a project website is underway.</p>	
<p>18.) Intersection Improvement Project – Redmond Way and East Lake Sammamish Parkway (page 261)</p> <p>a.) What was the ranking of the project?</p> <p>b.) Why is it not budgeted to occur in the 2019-2020 biennium?</p> <p>c.) Is this a Light Rail Project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Carson)</p> <p>d.) What is the driver for the project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a) This project ranked 56 out of 216 projects in the CIS process.</p> <p>b) The project is scheduled appropriately based on the need for it to be completed by 2024, prior to light rail opening.</p> <p>c) This is a light rail project identified as a mitigation requirement for Eastlink Light Rail Environmental record of decision</p> <p>d) The Drivers for the projects are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identified for Light Rail mitigation 2. Safety and efficiency improvement for a critical intersection 	<p>Closed</p>
<p>19.) Sports Field Project – Turf Replacement at Hartman Park Fields 5 and 6 (page 262)</p> <p>Will the new types of turf have a</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The different types of turf have the same life cycle of 10–12 years depending on use.</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

longer useful life? (Councilmember Myers)		
20.) Hardscape Project – Idylwood Park Parking Lot Repairs (page 267) Why is this project scheduled in the outer years of the CIP? (Councilmember Fields)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The top set of capital projects from the PARCC Plan are ranked against other projects within the CIS criteria and are balanced with other “urgency” criteria like timeliness or opportunities for partnerships and grants and other items such as, sequencing with other projects, safety and risk. Although this is an important project it is not considered urgent when compared to others that ranked above it. In the CIS process this project was ranked 139 out of 216 projects based on its purpose and urgency.	Closed
21.) Safe Routes to School Projects a.) How much do we spend and achieve on an annual basis? b.) How do we know that is the right amount? (Councilmember Anderson) c.) Is there a source of data that is used to identify the projects? (Councilmember Fields)	<u>Staff Response:</u> a.) The 2017-2022 CIP is the first time the City has recognized a project as a “Safe Routes to School” project. This was done to recognize the “Safe Routes to School” grant funding that was received. In 2018, we spent \$3.6M which included \$1,677,000 in federal and state grants. b.) The two projects completed in 2018 were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Red-Wood Road</u> Completed sidewalk and bicycle lane on the west side of Red-Wood Road just south of 109th Street. This completes neighborhood pedestrian and bicycle connections to Norman Rockwell Elementary. • <u>134th Avenue Sidewalk</u> Provided sidewalk on 134th Avenue between 75th Street and 80th Street to improve pedestrian connectivity to Rose Hill Middle School. c.) We submit priority projects for grant applications and other projects must compete against all CIS projects for general funds.	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	d.) Missing sidewalk gaps have been identified around schools and are ranked by specific criteria e.g. proximity to schools, traffic volumes and speeds	
<p>22.) Trail Project – NE 100th Street to Willows on Rose Hill (page 269)</p> <p>Can this project be moved up because it is the top ranked project in the Parks Plan?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The top set of capital projects from the PARCC Plan are ranked against other projects within the CIS criteria and are balanced with other “urgency” criteria like timeliness or opportunities for partnerships and grants and other items such as, sequencing with other projects, safety and risk. Although this is an important project it is not considered urgent when compared to others that ranked above it. In the CIS process this project was ranked 174 out of 216 projects based on its purpose and urgency.</p> <p>For Example: Trail Project - Redmond Central Connector Phase 3 was prioritized primarily due to the how it ranked against the CIS criteria and the timeliness of potential partnerships and grant opportunities. Redmond Central Connector Phase 3 was ranked as project 82.</p>	Closed
<p>23.) Climate Action Projects</p> <p>a.) What are the future climate action projects?</p> <p>b.) Can the City partner with PSE to fund the projects?</p> <p>c.) Can the City secure grants for the projects?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) In 2013 the City adopted by resolution a series of strategies to reduce the City’s operational greenhouse gas emissions and energy consumption. In addition, the Council adopted a Climate Action Implementation Plan in 2014. We will need to internally discuss the next steps forward in implementing the plan. The intent is that the proposed Environmental Sustainability Program Manager position in the budget will be able to coalesce things to help develop a direction.</p> <p>b.) PSE offers rebates when implementing energy efficiency improvements. We have applied for these in the past and will continue to do so in the future. The amounts, however, to date, have not been large enough to fully fund a project.</p> <p>c.) The City has secured Department of Commerce (DOC) Energy Efficiency grants for the solar installation on City Hall and the LED Street Light Retrofit project currently underway. We intend to apply for the new round of DOC Energy Efficiency grants for</p>	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	the Redmond Pool.	
24.) Sidewalk Repairs (page 271) a.) Has the City explored alternative funding options for these types of projects? (Neighbors funding them themselves, Local Improvement Districts) b.) What is the cost of improving the 6,000 locations identified in the sidewalk assessment? c.) How many locations are being addressed in the proposed CIP? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> a.) We have been successful in obtaining federal and state grants, requiring development to repair adjacent damaged sidewalks and creating partnerships including Sound Transit and Microsoft. We have not explored neighborhoods specifically funding sidewalk repairs through an LID or other means. b.) Approximately \$20M based on 2018 costs. This estimate includes tree removal, mobilization and traffic control. c.) Staff estimates the proposed funding will repair approximately 10% of the identified deficiencies.	Closed
25.) ADA Improvements Can staff provide clarity on the City's plan for ADA Improvements? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Staff is working to develop a comprehensive citywide ADA workplan that will be informed by creating an inventory of needed improvements that can be prioritized to ensure that the most important improvements are addressed in a timely manner. Currently an internal committee, comprised of members from each functional area, meets to discuss progress, challenges and opportunities. The plan is for each functional area to complete an ADA inventory that will be used to prioritize the improvements needed in the future. The ADA improvements that are included in the proposed CIP allow improvements to continue while the comprehensive workplan is developed. Both Parks and Facilities are working to complete their inventories and address urgent needs with the funding provided for 2019-2020.	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	Several years ago, transportation staff inventoried all ADA curb ramps in the City to determine which ones were and were not in compliance with federal standards. Using GIS mapping, staff has tracked any improvements made to these ramps, and has also mapped any new ramps being built by private development or City CIP projects. The funding for transportation ADA improvements for 2019-2020 will be used to design and construct curb ramps in areas where concerns or requests to improve ADA accessibility have been received. For 2019-2020 additional focus will be given to ramps accessing public buildings and places such as libraries, fire stations, etc.	
26.) Retaining Walls – Replacement and Installation (page 283) How were these locations prioritized? (Councilmember Birney)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The three projects are in this priority order because the failing rockery at Redmond Way and WLSP is in most immediate need of repair, and is adjacent to a sidewalk. Red-Wood Road by the 10300 block is beginning to fail, but is not an immediate hazard to motorists. NE 116 th Street by the Sammamish River carries a smaller volume of traffic than the other two locations, and has been temporarily patched to slow its rate of failure.	Closed
27.) Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program (page 285) How do we measure the success of these projects? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Traffic calming requests are initiated by community members or the Police Department. Initially, a speed, volume and/or a pedestrian study is done in the area of concern. If criteria for calming measures is met and nearby residents support the project, construction plans and project funding begin. After traffic calming devices have been installed, several months to a year later, another speed, volume and/or pedestrian study is conducted. Measurements are done in the same locations in order to gauge what improvements have occurred. Effectiveness is assessed by observing a significant decrease in speed, accidents, and overall safety. Depending on the type of mechanism used, generally speaking, vehicle speeds may decrease from 2-7 miles per hour on average. Likewise, surveys are distributed to the neighborhood residents near the calmed area. Their perception of the project's efficiency is also taken into consideration. These same studies may be conducted again after receipt of another complaint, or a few years later if there are no more complaints, to determine if further mitigation is required.	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>28.) Infiltration Retrofit Program (page 286)</p> <p>a.) What are their issues?</p> <p>b.) How do we see what's going on with this?</p> <p>c.) At what point do landowners need to upgrade to more modern systems?</p> <p>d.) What is the threshold?</p> <p>(Councilmember Birney)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) This program addresses high-risk sites with older infiltration systems in the 5-year time of travel of the drinking water supply wells (the proposed Critical Aquifer Recharge Area 1) that do not provide treatment prior to infiltration. The program assists property owners with modifications to their stormwater systems that may include source control measures, such as covering a fueling station, pollutant removal, installing treatment prior to stormwater infiltration systems, or other actions to reduce impacts to the aquifer.</p> <p>b.) <u>An update is planned for the Planning and Public Works Committee on November 13th and at Council on November 20th in coordination with other Wellhead Protection Updates.</u> All of the initial pilot projects are completed and staff has received feedback on the process from the participants. Further stakeholder engagement will occur in November on lessons learned from the pilot project and proposed changes to the implementation processes based on stakeholder feedback and lessons learned from the City. So far, 17 sites have complete required retrofits, 31 sites have redeveloped or will likely redevelop in the next 5 years (removing them from the program).</p> <p>c.) Upon redevelopment sites are required to meet current standards. We coordinate closely with the property owners and work with them if they have redevelopment plans on the horizon. If sites are not redeveloping upgrades are site dependent. Some sites may be able to implement best management practices such as more frequent lot sweeping or improved containment systems for pollutants. Other sites may need to upgrade their current stormwater infrastructure and add treatment based on the types of pollutants and activities conducted on their site.</p> <p>d.) Properties were evaluated based on actual site conditions and activities. Based on the risk scores from the evaluations, sites were put into groups, with Group 1 having the highest risk and requiring implementation of changes on the fastest timeframe and receiving the highest reimbursement rate for on-site improvements. Following completion of the initial pilot projects, outreach was conducted in January and February 2018 for property owners that went through the program. Staff is in the process of planning a stakeholder engagement meeting with property owners in the</p>	<p>Closed</p>
--	--	----------------------

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	Groundwater Protection Incentive Program to review lessons learned and obtain feedback on possible changes to improve the program. These changes will be reviewed at the Planning and Public Works Committee on November 13 th and come to the full Council after stakeholder engagement as part of the wellhead code updates in early 2019.	
29.) Climate Action Project – Parks System Energy Efficiency Improvements (page 286) What is the return on investment for this project? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The Parks System Energy Efficiency Improvements is comprised of multiple smaller projects including lighting upgrades, furnace replacements, insulation replacements, and windows replacements. Annual savings are estimated at \$8,300. The timing of the return on the \$106,000 investment = 12.77 years.	Closed
30.) CIP – General Question Does the proposed CIP address the priorities for all functional areas? (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Please see Attachment D – CIP Alignment with Functional Plans	Closed
31.) Project Acceleration Per Council’s discussion, identified project timelines to be moved into early years of CIP	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Intersection Improvement Project – Redmond Way and East Lake Sammamish Parkway 2. Hardscape Project – Idylwood Park Parking Lot Repairs 3. Trail Project – NE 100th Street to Willows on Rose Hill 	Council Deliberation Item
32.) Neighborhood Traffic Calming Who is the staff contact for the Neighborhood Traffic Calming	<u>Staff Response:</u> Community members can put in contact with the staff representative for the Neighborhood Traffic Calming project through the Customer Service Center. The	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

Program? (Councilmember Anderson)	Customer Service Center can be reached by phone at 425-556-2900 or email info@redmond.gov.																												
33.) General Question When were the City's major plans last updated? When are they scheduled to be updated again? (Councilmember Birney)	Staff Response: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Name</th><th>Last Updated</th><th>Planned Update</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Comprehensive Plan</td><td>2017</td><td>2019</td></tr> <tr> <td>Transportation Master Plan</td><td>2013</td><td>2020</td></tr> <tr> <td>PARCC Plan</td><td>2017</td><td>2023</td></tr> <tr> <td>Water System Plan</td><td>2011</td><td>2020</td></tr> <tr> <td>General Sewer Plan</td><td>2009</td><td>2019</td></tr> <tr> <td>Water Resources Plan</td><td>2015</td><td>2019</td></tr> <tr> <td>Flood Hazard Management Plan</td><td>2009</td><td>2022</td></tr> <tr> <td>Watershed Plan</td><td>2013</td><td>2019</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	Plan Name	Last Updated	Planned Update	Comprehensive Plan	2017	2019	Transportation Master Plan	2013	2020	PARCC Plan	2017	2023	Water System Plan	2011	2020	General Sewer Plan	2009	2019	Water Resources Plan	2015	2019	Flood Hazard Management Plan	2009	2022	Watershed Plan	2013	2019	Closed
Plan Name	Last Updated	Planned Update																											
Comprehensive Plan	2017	2019																											
Transportation Master Plan	2013	2020																											
PARCC Plan	2017	2023																											
Water System Plan	2011	2020																											
General Sewer Plan	2009	2019																											
Water Resources Plan	2015	2019																											
Flood Hazard Management Plan	2009	2022																											
Watershed Plan	2013	2019																											
34.) General Question What is the City's definition of transportation infrastructure? (Councilmember Birney) Under what category do charging stations and parking lots fall under?	Staff Response: City Transportation Infrastructure includes the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Roadways (travel lanes, bike lanes, raised medians, guard rails, curbs and gutters, retaining walls, on-street parking) • Bridges • Pedestrian and Bike facilities (sidewalks, hard-surface multi-use trails, pathways, handrails) 	Closed																											

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

(Councilmember Fields)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Control devices (traffic signals, flashing beacons, signs, markings) and street lighting • Public Parking Lots <p>Other Transportation Infrastructure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Freeways (WSDOT) • Light Rail facilities (Sound Transit) • Bus Transit facilities (Park and Ride lots, transit centers, off-street layover facilities, maintenance centers and bus shelters) <p>Vehicle Charging Stations and Parking Lots</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vehicle charging stations typically are not on-street facilities and are in private and public parking lots. They are ancillary to the parking lot and typically operated by private vendors (like a gas station) so are not considered part of the public transportation infrastructure. • There are both on-street parking and separate public parking lots (in addition to park and ride lots). We have added on-street parking to the “Roadways” infrastructure and “Public Parking Lots” as a separate category under City Transportation Infrastructure above. 	
<p>35.) General Question</p> <p>What metrics is the City using to ensure the expected savings and outcomes are achieved?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p><u>Please see Attachments E-H:</u> Attachment E – 2017 Performance Measure Report Attachment F – One Pager Justice League Lean Attachment G – One Pager Customer Service Improvement Attachment H – One Pager Onboarding Lean</p>	Closed
<p>36.) General Question</p> <p>What is the annual energy usage for each of the utility and the associated costs?</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p><u>2017 Data</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Facilities: 8,660,858.5 kBTU \$245,061.40 • Wastewater Facilities: 18,125,309.2 kBTU \$52,990.96 	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

(Councilmember Anderson)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stormwater Facilities 72,797.59 kBTU \$2,572.25 	
37.) General Questions – Economic Development a.) Is the Economic Development Strategy available for Council to review? b.) How does the City assist employers and employees with vulnerabilities such as affordable housing? c.) How does the City provide opportunities for minority businesses? (Councilmember Birney)	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) http://redmond.gov/common/pages/UserFile.aspx?fileId=100212</p> <p>b.) The City is a member of ARCH (A Regional Coalition for Housing) and invests in the Housing Trust Fund to leverage additional resources and opportunities to provide affordable housing units. The City also has a requirement for inclusionary housing for all new residential developments of 10 or more dwellings, Redmond requires that a minimum of 10% of the dwellings be affordable to households earning 80% or less than the area median income (or alternatively, 5% of the dwellings to households earning 50% or less of the area median income).</p> <p>In addition, through its Go Redmond partnership the City works with Hopelink to provide vulnerable members of our community as well as individuals whose first language is not English with information about alternative travel options.</p> <p>c.) Through our partnership with OneRedmond, free assistance is available from the SBDC (Small Business Development Center) to advise about business plans, financing and other small business The City participates in Startup 425, offering free education on all aspects of how to start a business, or grow your small business. 62% of participants are minorities.</p>	Closed
38.) General Question What does OneRedmond do for the City? (Councilmember Fields)	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>OneRedmond supports Redmond’s economic development by leveraging the City’s investment through a partnership with private sector companies. Examples of OneRedmond work include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business Retention – Meet annually with over 100 of Redmond’s leading businesses to identify opportunities and challenges to their health and growth in Redmond. Help resolve issues such as for permit delays, transportation management, and labor force recruitment. Small Business Assistance – OneRedmond partners together with Washington 	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<p>State University to house the Redmond office of the Washington State Small Business Development Center and SCORE. In 2017, the SBDC served 320 clients that resulted in 50 business starts and raised over \$3.1 million in new capital. Companies reported sales increases of \$1.4 million. YTD, in 2018, clients have raised 1,264,500 (capital) and \$2,579,100 (increased sales). Sponsor of Start-up 425, which has helped over 1,000 individuals on the east side evaluate business start-up issues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Business Recruitment – Recruit firms in four targeted sectors - cloud, interactive media, space, and foreign direct investment through participation in tradeshow, conferences and lead generation. They do this by marketing Redmond as a location where the talent these firms seek can be found. In 2018 they have developed over 75 leads that we continue to work. OneRedmond also responds to leads from the state and EDC on behalf of Redmond. OneRedmond was one of the leads for creating the Innovation Triangle with Bellevue and Kirkland. They jointly market this region through trade shows and expositions and jointly respond to major relocation opportunities. • Policy – OneRedmond serves a key stakeholder from the business community in many of the City's initiatives, including Budgeting by Priorities results team, Business Fee and Tax Committee, dewatering, parking, Overlake and Marymoor infrastructure planning, Lean permitting and sharing the benefits of programs and infrastructure that support our community. 	
<p>39.) Community Development – Offer 000178</p> <p>Where is the City expenditure budgeted and what line item is it included in?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>The expenditure is budgeted in the Community Development Offer 000178 for the Diverse and Connected Community priority. The OneRedmond expenditure is included in the line item for “Miscellaneous” 100.80700.00490.55863.</p>	Closed
<p>40.) Community Development – Offer 000178</p> <p>a.) How is the Go Redmond</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) Go Redmond is funded by a combination of a King County Metro grant, CMAQ</p>	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>program funded?</p> <p>b.) What line items does it cover?</p> <p>c.) How are the prizes funded?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p>federal grant and business tax funds.</p> <p>b.) The Go Redmond program is part of the Mobility of People and Goods offer in the Infrastructure priority. The line items include salaries, benefits, advertising, supplies, professional services, and miscellaneous.</p> <p>c.) Incentives and subsidies are funded through a combination of the grants and business tax funds. See answer to question a).</p>	
<p>41.) Community Development – Offer 000178</p> <p>a.) What line items does the Hotel\Motel Tax cover?</p> <p>b.) How is this funding used?</p> <p>(Councilmember Myers)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) 59% towards tourism grants and marketing; 39% community events and arts; 2% administration;</p> <p>b.) Please see information provided in answer a).</p>	Closed
<p>42.) Microsoft Refresh – Offer 000052</p> <p>Are there are City costs associated with the Microsoft Refresh project?</p> <p>(Councilmember Padhye)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>There are no City costs associated with the Microsoft Refresh project.</p>	Closed
<p>43.) Affordable Housing</p> <p>Does the City know how many housing units we need to meet our goal or policy?</p> <p>(Councilmember Fields)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>We use targets determined in 2001 by ARCH for planning purposes for the 20 year period 2002-2022 as the annual benchmark to assess supply/demand of affordable homes. In 2017 we have an accumulated balance of 1,773 affordable homes. Our assumed target is 2,877, leaving a gap of 1,104 affordable homes.</p> <p>In the region, aspirational goals have been identified: 24 percent of all new growth in housing should be to serve low-income households (50% AMI and below) and 17% for</p>	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	moderate income (80% AMI and below).	
44.) Recreation Connects Community – Offer 000055 Please provide a spreadsheet that provides a comparison of revenues and expenses for the City’s contracted versus non-contracted programs. (Councilmember Fields)	<u>Staff Response:</u> This offer represents an estimated 2,653 recreations programs. Staff balances contracted and internal programs to offer robust recreation offerings. In 2017 28% were contracted programs. Please see Attachment I – Recreation Program Comparison, that forecasts 2019/2020 internal and contracted program area revenue and expenses: Please note we are heading into year 2 of the Cost of Service Plan. The initial year was used to train staff in methodology, establish systems, and analysis of programs.	Closed
45.) Recreation Connects Community – Offer 000055 What are the enrollment numbers for the programs identified in the Below Baseline section? (Councilmember Padhye)	<u>Staff Response:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Study Lab Teen Programs:</u> 2017-2018 school year – 146 Unique Teens • <u>Rain Delay 5K:</u> 2017 – 191 participants • <u>Idlywood Beach:</u> 2018 week 1: Estimated 1,800 • <u>Idlywood Beach:</u> 2018 week 8: Estimated 1,600 • <u>Flex Fit Program:</u> 115 memberships (program is growing) • <u>Summer Lunch Program:</u> 264 Lunches served in 2018 	Closed
46.) Recreation Connects Community – Offer 000055 What criteria was used to select the ePACT software and application program interface integration? (Councilmember Carson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The following criteria was used: 1.) Compliance standards: ePACT is HIPAA compliant and meets privacy and security requirements. 2.) Software integration: ePACT is the only software that fully integrates with our registration software ActiveNet, allowing information to be shared between each software through API. 3.) Automated processes: ePACT automatically emails a customer a link to complete online waiver upon registration, improving the customer experience and reducing staff	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<p>labor.</p> <p>4.) Waiver/Form management & customization: ePACT manages authorization forms, special accommodations, consents and waivers. Each form can be built & customized by City of Redmond, to meet the needs of the customer, the activity and the city.</p> <p>5.) Emergency notification/communication: ePACT allows emergency notification and communication through text message or email in the event of an emergency.</p> <p>6.) Mobile accessibility: ePACT is accessible through mobile devices, allowing staff to have access to important information in the parks, and in the field.</p>	
<p>47.) Recreation Connects Community – Offer 000055</p> <p>(Councilmember Margeson)</p>	<p>Idylwood lifeguards: Add funding for supplemental salaries back into the baseline budget if resources can be found to do so.</p>	<p>Council Deliberation Item</p>
<p>48.) Housing and Human Services – Offer 000080</p> <p>What are the current metrics for the Affordable Housing Dashboard Indicator?</p> <p><u>Indicator 4:</u> Ratio of supply of affordable homes to demand of affordable homes (includes both low and moderate income levels)</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>Indicator 4: Current ratio of supply of affordable homes to demand of affordable homes (includes both low and moderate-income levels)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 63% in 2017 (based on the number of moderate-income and low-income affordable homes permitted between 2001 and 2022 target of 3,723 units) 	<p>Closed</p>
<p>49.) Housing and Human Services – Offer 000080</p> <p>Please provide a copy of the strategy report.</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p><u>Please see Attachments J and K:</u></p> <p>Attachment J – Housing Strategy Plan</p> <p>Attachment K – Affordable Housing Strategies Work Plan</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

(Councilmember Anderson)		
50.)Housing and Human Services – Offer 000080 What is the timing of the Human Services Needs Assessment? (Councilmember Carson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The work is scheduled to be undertaken during the 3 rd and 4 th quarters of 2020.	Closed
51.) Criminal Justice Services – Offer 000179 Please provide metrics of the number of people served by the Public Defender contract and the reasons they are being served. (Councilmember Myers) Please provide the percent of Public Defense against the number of Cases that go to court for prosecution. (Councilmember Myers)	<u>Staff Response:</u> January 2018 – 82 defendants February 2018 – 138 defendants March 2018 – 86 defendants April 2018 – 85 defendants May 2018 – 130 defendants June 2018 – 101 defendants July 2018 – 75 defendants August 2018 – 90 defendants September 2018 – data pending October 2018 – 61 defendants Defendants = cases These persons are being served, because it is a legal requirement for jurisdictions to provide public defense. As the Prosecuting Attorney works for the Executive Office, public defense must be provided by a third-party entity to maintain the separation. The Public Defender’s budget accounts for an estimated 85% of the Prosecutor’s criminal case load. The Prosecuting Attorney advises: “Based on our experience the consensus among my colleagues is that the public defender is appointed in 85% of criminal cases the City files. That percentage is probably a little on the conservative side. The judges are very liberal when it comes to making in-court public defender appointments.”	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<p>Case load overlap occurs from month-to-month, as defendants often do not appear in court in the same month cases are assigned to the Prosecutor. Defendants may also appear in the courtroom at various different times and in different stages of the process that do not correlate to the Prosecutor's case assignment. For example, perhaps a case is brought forward by the Prosecutor in one month, but the Defendant is not brought in until many months later when brought in through a warrant, or other means.</p> <p><u>2018 To-Date</u> January - 73 Prosecutor/82 Defender February – 78 Prosecutor/138 Defender March – 55 Prosecutor/86 Defender April – 71 Prosecutor/85 Defender May – 56 Prosecutor/130 Defender June – 48 Prosecutor/101 Defender July – 84 Prosecutor/75 Defender August – 57 Prosecutor/90 Defender September – 62 Prosecutor/data pending</p> <p>The Public Defender's budget includes other associated legal services that are separate from the Public Defender's contract. These include: Conflict Public Defenders; Indigent Screening Services (provided by King County via Interlocal Agreement); and Translation Services (provided by third-party vendor).</p> <p>These persons are being served, because it is a legal requirement for jurisdictions to provide public defense.</p>	
<p>52.) Urban Forestry Management – Offer 000072</p> <p>a.) Is the highest loss rate on public or private property?</p> <p>b.) What is the amount of grant</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) Private property, both from a loss and potential opportunity. The redevelopment of parcels to add housing is where most tree loss is occurring. There has been some recent loss on public lands due to redevelopment of the urban centers, North Redmond, and Sound Transit along State Route 520. Private properties also have the most land available for possible replanting.</p>	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

<p>funding being sought and what amount is likely to be received?</p> <p>(Councilmember Anderson)</p>	<p>b.) Natural Resources applied for a \$30K grant with Adopt a Stream for tree planting through The Nature Conservancy, City Habitats through Nature Conservancy. This program awards grants between \$15K and \$75K with a non-profit partner. City staff discussed two proposals and went forward with the one listed above led by Natural Resources.</p> <p>Other possible upcoming grant applications include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Washington Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) offers Urban and Community Forest Grants ranging from \$5K-\$15K. • WDNR Tree City USA Grants for planting or maintenance. \$15K per community. Requires a 1:1 match (in-kind or financial) is required. • Conservation Futures. Requires 50% match and can be used for forested parcels and other passive uses. Staff is looking at 2019 application with Natural Resources. • King Conservation District Pilot Neighborhood Steward Program offers an in-kind staff support program where they partner with homeowner associations to become active stewards of native growth protection areas in their association. Redmond piloted this project this biennium. 	
<p>53.) Urban Forestry Management – Offer 000072</p> <p>a.) What is the desired split between public and private trees?</p> <p>b.) What is the tree canopy goal?</p> <p>(Councilmember Birney)</p>	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>a.) Staff has not identified a desired split of the additional 200 acres to reach the 40% goal, but over half of the opportunity to add canopy in the City is on private land. The City led planting effort has been identified by the public as the top strategy and also has the largest opportunity to increase acres planted and overall canopy.</p> <p>b.) The Tree Canopy goal is for 40% tree canopy in 30 years. In years 0-10 the goals are: refine data, launch new initiatives, educate stakeholders, achieve no net loss.</p> <p>We have used 7 acres a year as an average measure per year of canopy we would need to plant to reach the 40% goal. (Net increase of 200 acres over 30 years is roughly 7</p>	<p>Closed</p>

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	acres per year.)	
54.) Urban Forestry Management – Offer 000072 (Councilmember Birney)	Tree Canopy Planting: Add funding for an additional two acres of tree planting if resources can be found to do so.	Council Deliberation Item
55.) Solid Waste Management – 000037 Please provide detailed information for the performance measure below. Tons of greenhouse gas emissions reduced from the solid waste stream (Councilmember Anderson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Moved to the Parking Lot	Closed
56.) Environmental Sustainability – Offer 000185 Which line items support the Environment Sustainability Program Manager and the Environmental Sustainability Study? (Councilmember Myers)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Both the Environmental Sustainability Program Manager and the Environmental Sustainability Study are funded using the split shown below and the line items include salary, benefits, and professional services. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20% Stormwater Utility • 20% Water Utility • 20% Wastewater Utility • 20% Solid Waste • 20% General Fund 	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

57.) Fiscal Stewardship – Offer 000079 How and why is a portion of unemployment insurance unfunded? (Councilmember Margeson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> Unemployment insurance costs increased during the recession and have since declined. This reduction adjusts the line item to a level of funding that is appropriate for the current level of activity.	Closed
58.) Organizational Development – Offer 000078 Why was the Innovation Fund not funded? (Councilmember Margeson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> The Innovation Fund was not funded because the creative thinking that we had hoped to encourage through the program has developed throughout the City and become a norm. Most often these innovated ideas are now discussed during departmental budget preparations or implemented as a normal course of business.	Closed
59.) Organizational Development – Offer 000078 (Councilmember Carson)	Innovation Fund: Add funding for innovative ideas back into the budget if resources can be found to do so.	Council Deliberation Item
60.) Human Resources – Offer 000056 How and why is a portion of personnel grievances unfunded? (Councilmember Margeson)	<u>Staff Response:</u> A portion of the funding for legal costs associated with personnel grievances was unfunded because the City’s success in resolving issues before they are escalated has increase dramatically over the last few years. The line item is now funded at a level that is appropriate for the legal support required.	Closed
61.) City Council – Offer 000098	Wireless Communications and Cities for Citizenship Initiative: Is there a better use for	Council

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

(Councilmember Margeson)	these funds?	Deliberation Item
62.) Human Services (Councilmember Padhye)	Human Services: Add funding for an additional \$100K for Human Services if resources can be found to do so.	Council Deliberation Item
63.) Impact Fees What is the State law regarding the restriction of impact fees for capacity building? (Councilmember Anderson)	<p><u>Staff Response:</u></p> <p>This explanation is offered on the Municipal Research and Services center (MRSC) website:</p> <p>Impact fees may only be imposed for public capital facilities (also called “system improvements”) identified in a local government’s capital facilities plan that are designed to provide service to the community at large, are reasonably related to a new development, and will benefit that new development (see WAC 365-196-850).</p> <p>RCW (State law) allows Impact Fees to fund additional system capacity improvements that support new development and not the correction of existing deficiencies (defined as solely bringing an existing facility to current standards not related to new capacity for development or maintenance work):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCW 82.02.050(4) states in relevant part: The impact fees: Shall only be imposed for system improvements that are reasonably related to the new development. • In addition, RCW 82.02.050(5)(a) states in part: Impact fees may be collected and spent only for the public facilities defined in RCW 82.02.090 which are addressed by a capital facilities plan element of a comprehensive land use plan adopted pursuant to the provisions of RCW 36.70A.070 or the provisions for comprehensive plan adoption contained in chapter 36.70, 35.63, or 35A.63 RCW. • “. . . such fee shall not be imposed to make up for any system improvement deficiencies” (RCW 82.02.060(8)) 	Closed

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RCW 82.02.090(7) then defines “public facilities” to mean: the following capital facilities owned or operated by government entities: (a) Public streets and roads; (b) publicly owned parks, open space, and recreation facilities; (c) school facilities; and (d) fire protection facilities. • RCW 82.02.090(9) defines “system improvements” to mean (emphasis added): public facilities that are included in the capital facilities plan and are designed to provide service to service areas within the community at large, in contrast to project improvements. 	
64.) Councilmember conflict of interest on the budget (Councilmember Anderson)	Is it a conflict of interest for Councilmember sitting on the board of OneRedmond to vote on the budget.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

Parking Lot		
Issue	Discussion	Status
1.) Estimated impacts of CIP on M&O (Councilmember Carson)	Suggestion: Include narrative about what type of project components add to the maintenance costs for each different type of project.	
2.) Carbon footprint reductions (Councilmember Anderson)	Would like to see the plan for carbon footprint reductions and receive regular updates and report outs Cathy Beam provides citywide carbon footprint updates annually.	
3.) Project funding (Councilmember Birney)	Suggestion: Provide more specific information on individual project funding	
4.) Project maps (Councilmember Birney)	Suggestion: Number the maps to improve usability	
5.) Light Rail projects (Councilmember Anderson)	Suggestion: Note which projects are related to Light Rail	
6.) Return on investment for energy efficiency projects. (Councilmember Anderson)	What is the return on investment projected to be for energy efficiency projects? The ESCO Contract documents will project this, coming next year.	
7.) Westside Park (Councilmember Margeson)	Can we improve access to the park? Perhaps a mid-block crossing?	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

8.) Lifecycle costs and carbon/energy footprint measurements and reporting (Councilmember Anderson)	Track and measure the lifecycle costs, energy and carbon footprints for CIP spending on materials and activities.	
9.) Neighborhood Fund (Councilmember Fields)	Can the grant match amount of \$5,000 be increased?	
10.) Neighborhood Traffic Calming Program criteria (Councilmember Anderson)	Explain if the 3E's (education, enforcement, engineering) are being used on other traffic projects?	
11.) Mobility around Light Rail stations (Councilmember Birney)	In two years from now, can we look at improving mobility around the Light Rail stations further than one mile away?	
12.) Dashboard Measures for Vibrant Economy priority (Councilmember Fields)	Evaluate the Dashboard Measures for the Vibrant Economy priority to ensure that we are measuring the right things?	
13.) Dashboard Measures for Vibrant Economy priority (Councilmember Fields)	For the measure below, there should be a separate measure for employers. It should not be included with restaurants, retail shops and services. <i>Percentage of Redmond residents either very satisfied or satisfied with the type and variety of employers, restaurants, retail shops and services in Redmond.</i>	
14.) Prep Process (Councilmember Birney)	Review the public involvement aspects of the Prep process to identify and implement improvements	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

15.) Affordable Housing (Councilmember Carson)	What can we do about the investigation into ARCH?	
16.) Affordable Housing (Councilmember Myers)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What are we doing next to make building affordable housing more affordable for developers? 2. What are our regulations with property owners renting a part of their home or property? 3. What building standards or requirements are there? 	
17.) Objectives for Diverse & Connected Community (Councilmember Margeson)	Add an objective that focuses on attracting educational institutions to Redmond.	
18.) Affordable Housing (Councilmember Birney)	Provide an update on the multi-family tax exemption and how it is helping.	
19.) Affordable Housing (Councilmember Anderson)	Do we know the different levels of affordable housing available throughout the City and do we understand the need?	
20.) Affordable Housing (Councilmember Anderson)	How do we know that we are doing enough to address Council's number one initiative?	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

21.) Human Services Needs Assessment (Councilmember Margeson)	How does the application process protect against some agencies being over-funded or under-funded?	
22.) Zero Waste Program (Councilmember Anderson)	Can the multi-family organics initiative be a part of the Zero Waste Program?	
23.) Community Involvement and Communications (Councilmember Fields)	Please include Council in the process of improving community involvement and communications	
24.) Community Involvement and Communications (Councilmember Anderson)	Have we thought of videoing the Neighborhood Conversations?	
25.) Community Involvement and Communications (Councilmember Birney)	How do we gage the success of videoing the Committee of the Whole meetings?	
26.) Public Safety Levy (Councilmember Margeson)	Develop a strategy to refresh the Public Safety Levy	
27.) Dashboard Measures for Safety priority (Councilmember Anderson)	Add an indicator of code compliance case clearance	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

28.) Public Safety Staffing Levels (Councilmember Anderson)	Are we resourced appropriately to provide the level of service desired by the community? What are the turnover rates for and how do we compare with surrounding jurisdictions?	
29.) Police Emergency Services performance measure (Councilmember Margeson)	Is there a better measure than “Percentage of staff that meet or exceed 24-Hour training requirement for commissioned staff?” We are meeting the target 100% of the time.	
30.) Zero Waste Plan (Councilmember Margeson)	Please Council updated on the plan specifics and goals.	
31.) Zero Waste Plan (Councilmember Padhye)	How much garbage is collected at City sponsored Recycling Events? Have we had problems with illegal dumping before?	
32.) Zero Waste Plan (Councilmember Anderson)	How will construction waste be incorporated into the plan?	
33.) Environmental Sustainability (Councilmember Fields)	Is there any information available that communicates the goals and intent?	
34.) Dashboard Measures for Responsible Government priority (Councilmember Anderson) (Councilmember Carson)	Consider adding a dashboard measure for the safety and security of the City’s data.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

35.) City Council performance measures (Councilmember Birney) (Councilmember Myers) (Councilmember Anderson)	Develop performance measures for City Council.	
36.) Safety Program (Councilmember Anderson)	Are there frequent fliers in workers comp claims? Where are the safety issues from? Can this program be combined with the Wellness Program?	
37.) Impact Fees\Affordable Housing (Councilmember Anderson)	Can an impact fee that funds affordable housing be created?	
38.) Impact Fees (Councilmember Fields)	Can we create a transportation impact fee zone for Marymoor Village?	
39.) Cities for Citizenship Initiative (Councilmember Margeson)	This request for funding should come through the Human Services process in the next budget process.	
40.) Council involvement (Councilmember Fields)	Council Guidance on improving metrics, council budget oversight, and establishing methods to communicate CIP progress. In particular, written guidance that is included in the adopted budget.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

41.) CIP Updates (Councilmember Margeson)	Ensure that the quarterly updates on public and private project are conducted at Study Sessions	
42.) Adaptive Signals (Councilmember Fields)	Increase the scope of the Adaptive Signal Systems Project to include Overlake in the 6-year CIP plan.	
43.) Safe Routes to School – 151st Avenue Sidewalk	Safe Routes to School Project - 151 st Avenue Sidewalk (\$478,000) funded in 2024. Instead of moving the project up, being public outreach, try Neighborhood Traffic Calming solutions and address vegetation management issues until the project can be completed.	
44.) SE Redmond Park (Councilmember Fields)	What is the plan for developing the SE Redmond Park and why has this project not risen to a higher priority?	
PUBLIC COMMENT MATRIX		
Date/Meeting	Comment	Status
1/30/2018 Email: Linda Seltzer	See Attachment A for full script of the email.	H. Margeson responded by email on 1/31/2018
6/7/2018 Email: Shawn Roland	See Attachment B for full script of email.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

6/19/2018 Public Hearing: Sara Wamslee	From Housing Development Consortium (HDC) – Supports investments in Affordable Housing Eastside.	
6/19/2018 Public Hearing: Linda Seltzer	Concerned about the loss of low income apartments for seniors. Supports providing rent vouchers to assist with self-sufficiency. Believes the percentage of the budget as a whole that is spent on Affordable Housing and Human Services is too low.	
6/19/2018 Public Hearing: David Morton	Supports a City partnership with PSE and K4C to develop wind energy.	
7/9/2018 Email: Linda Seltzer	Supports funding for Affordable Housing and Human Services. See Attachment C for full script of email	H. Margeson responded by email on 7/11/2018
8/29/2018 Email: Ray Aest	Supports funding for 116 th Improvements. See Attachment D for full script of email	Don Cairns responded by email 8/30/2018
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Howard Harrison	Supports funding for Environmental Sustainability Program Manager and climate action initiatives.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Belinda Satoni	Supports funding for the Redmond Pool. (Was not able to stay to testify)	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Rachel Krinski	Supports increased funding for Human Services	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Devon Kellogg	Supports funding for Environmental Sustainability Program Manager, Sustainability Study and climate action initiatives. Would like to see more funding for the Clean and Green priority	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: David Morton	Supports funding for Climate Action initiatives. Suggests making the reduction of Greenhouse Gas an official City policy. Would like an Advisory Committee formed to inform future environmental actions, including renewable energy, creation of an Annual Report, and a zero carbon footprint goal.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Skip Hewitt	Supports funding for the Redmond pool.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Matt Eldridge	Interim Director for Wave Aquatics. Supports funding for the Redmond pool.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Lisa Seltzer	Supports funding for Affordable Housing and Human Services.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Karina Wiggins	Represents Friends of Youth. Supports funding for Human Services.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Jennifer Cruz	Supports funding for the Redmond pool	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Cindy Wood	Supports funding for the Redmond pool	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Tom Hinman	Supports funding for improvements in environmental sustainability, community engagement and Overlake development processes. See follow-up emails and attachments noted below.	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Frank Barrett	Supports funding for the Redmond pool	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Gizela Berreth	Supports funding for the Redmond pool	
10/16/2018 Public Hearing: Anandee Kamanda	Supports funding for the Redmond pool	
10/17/2018 Email: Evelyn Bundesmann	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment E for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

10/17/2018 Email: Heather Stallard	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment F for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/17/2018 Email: Ujjwala Kale	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment G for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/17/2018 Email: Andrea Wu	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment H for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/17/2018 Email: Anh Quach Crandall	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment I for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/17/2018 Email: Lisa Mitchell	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment J for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/17/2018 Email: Tom Hinman	Documentation to support testimony at 10/16/2018 Public Hearing. See Attachment K for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018
10/18/2018 Email: Dr. Leila Kozak	Support for the Redmond pool. See Attachment L for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded 10/18/2018

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

10/21/2018 Email: Tom Hinman	Documentation to support testimony at 10/16/2018 Public Hearing. Additional support for Ombuds, Council and Neighborhood Conversations procedures. See Attachment M for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 10/22/2018
11/06/2018 Items from the Audience	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Requesting sidewalks on 151st currently on the TMP/TIP but not funded. 2. Requesting approval of the Environmental Sustainability Manager in the budget. 3. Support for budget items that promote long-term environmental resiliency. 	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

PUBLIC COMMENT MATRIX		
Date/Meeting	Comment	Status
11/06/2018 Email: Tom Hinman	Comments of support for funding specific budget proposals, implementation of community engagement opportunities and performance measures.	
11/12/2018 Email: Gizela Berreth	Opposition to Westside Park project and support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment N for full script of email.	Carolyn Hope responded by email 11/16/2018
11/13/2018 Email: Anuradha Rawal	Support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment O for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 11/14/2018
11/14/2018 Email Melissa Rogers	Support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment P for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 11/14/2018

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

11/14/2018 Email Beth Benincasa	Support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment Q for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 11/14/2018
11/19/2018 Email Rahul Fadnavis	Support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment R for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 11/21/2018
11/20/2018 Email David Paraschiv	Support for the Redmond Pool. See Attachment S for full script of email	Kelley Cochran responded by email 11/21/2018
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Jeni Craswell	From Hopelink. Supports allocation from Human Services	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Jim Forbes	From Community Homes Incorporated. Supports allocation from Human Services	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing David Morten	Supports Environmental Sustainability Program Manager	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

11/20/2018 Public Hearing Patience Malaba	From HDC – Affordable Housing. Requests increased funding for ARCH	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Bill Bruiggemann	From Board of Directors for Imagine Housing. Requests increased funding for ARCH	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing David Claux	Support for the Redmond Pool.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Jonathan Alfred	Executive Director for Wave Aquatics. Support for the Redmond Pool.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Chris Reedy	Support for the Redmond Pool.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Chris Chapin	Supports Environmental Sustainability Program Manager. Requests \$50,000 funding for K4C.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Elizabeth Bono	Support for the Redmond Pool.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

11/20/2018 Public Hearing Brad Chellen	Requests funding for the 116 th improvement project, undergrounding of utilities, increased traffic cameras and would like Council to work with the state to encourage improvements on SR202.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Linda Seltzer	Concerned about the loss of low income apartments for seniors. Supports providing rent vouchers to assist with self-sufficiency.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing David Parrishive	Support for the Redmond Pool.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Rawly Breek	Support for the Redmond Pool.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing Devon Kellogg	Supports Environmental Sustainability Program Manager and study.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing: Gizela Berreth	Supports funding for the Redmond pool. Requests additional funding about the \$8M.	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing: Kay Larson	Support for the Redmond Pool.	

Exhibit F-3
2019-2020 PRELIMINARY BUDGET
Council Issues, Parking Lot and Public Comment Matrices

11/20/2018 Public Hearing: Lindsay Bjore	Support for Westside Park improvements	
11/20/2018 Public Hearing: Michael PapaMichael	Support for Westside Park improvements	

*Attachments are available for review upon request