



Supplemental Agreement Number _____		Organization and Address	
Original Agreement Number			
Project Number		Phone:	
Project Title		Execution Date	Completion Date
Description of Work		New Maximum Amount Payable \$	

The Local Agency of _____
desires to supplement the agreement entered into with _____
and executed on _____ and identified as Agreement No. _____

All provisions in the basic agreement remain in effect except as expressly modified by this supplement.

The changes to the agreement are described as follows:

I

Section 1, SCOPE OF WORK, is hereby changed to read:

II

Section IV, TIME FOR BEGINNING AND COMPLETION, is amended to change the date for completion of the work to read:

III

Section V, PAYMENT, shall be amended as follows:

as set forth in the attached Exhibits, and by this reference made a part of this supplement.

If you concur with this supplement and agree to the changes as stated above, please sign in the appropriate spaces below and return to this office for final action.

By: _____

By: _____

Consultant Signature

Approving Authority Signature

Date

REDMOND PAIRED WATERSHED STUDY IMPLEMENTATION: WATER YEARS 2020 - 2022

In February 2014, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) approved a Citywide Watershed Management Plan (WMP) for the City of Redmond (City) that allows use of a watershed approach for implementing required stormwater best management practices (BMPs) pursuant to the Phase II municipal stormwater permit. Through the implementation of this WMP, the City will focus stormwater BMPs in a subset of priority watersheds that are moderately impacted by urbanization and therefore expected to respond more quickly to rehabilitation efforts. This provides a unique opportunity to study the effectiveness of stormwater BMPs for improving receiving water conditions on an accelerated timeframe. Recognizing this opportunity, the City is implementing the Redmond Paired Watershed Study (RPWS) to quantify improvements in receiving water conditions based on routine and continuous measurements of various hydrologic, chemical, physical, and biological indicators of stream health. This study will be implemented over an anticipated ten-year timeframe with funding from Ecology's Stormwater Action Monitoring (SAM) program.

To guide its implementation, Herrera Environmental Consultants (Herrera) developed a quality assurance project plan (QAPP) for the RPWS through a previous contract with the City. This QAPP provides detailed descriptions of the procedures that will be used for the following components of the study's experimental design:

- Hydrologic monitoring
- Water quality monitoring
- Sediment quality monitoring
- Physical habitat monitoring
- Biological monitoring

To date, the City has authorized Herrera to implement the monitoring identified in the QAPP over a period that extends from water year 2016 through the first quarter of water year 2020 (a water year is defined as the 12-month period that extends from October 1 in any given year through September 30 of the following year). This scope of work amends this previous contract to extend the monitoring implementation through the following periods:

- Last three quarters of water year 2020 (January 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020)

SCOPE OF WORK

- All of water year 2021 (October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)
- All of water year 2022 (October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022)

Pursuant to this scope of work, Herrera will take the lead role for implementing all the components of the study's experimental design identified above except hydrologic monitoring; King County will lead this component of the study under a separate contract with the City.

The QAPP for the RPWS indicates trend analyses reports should also be prepared following 4, 6, 8, and 10 years of study implementation. These reports will summarize the results of statistical analyses that are described in the QAPP to identify relationships between rehabilitation efforts and improving receiving water conditions. This scope of work also amends the previous contract to include at tasks for preparing the trend analysis reports following 4 and 6 years of study implementation.

This scope of work includes a discussion of the activities, assumptions, deliverables associated with the following tasks:

- Task 7.0 - Water Year 2020 Study Implementation
- Task 8.0 - Water Year 2021 Study Implementation
- Task 9.0 – Water Year 2022 Study Implementation
- Task 10.0 - Trend Analysis Report: Water Years 2016 - 2019
- Task 11.0 - Trend Analysis Report: Water Years 2016 - 2021

The cost by deliverable, and schedule by deliverable for work to be performed by Herrera are included in a separate payment schedule (Exhibit B).

TASK 7.0 – WATER YEAR 2020 STUDY IMPLEMENTATION

Under this task, Herrera will implement required monitoring activities identified in the QAPP for the RPWS over the final three quarters water year 2020 (January 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020). This would include field measurement collection, data management and quality assurance review, and reporting. These activities are described in more detail under the following subtasks:

Subtask 7.1 - Hydrologic Monitoring

The hydrologic monitoring component of the RPWS involves continuous flow monitoring at 14 stations. Data from the continuous flow monitoring is processed to calculate a suite of indicators for evaluating hydrologic impacts from urban development. King County is leading the

SCOPE OF WORK

implementation of the hydrologic monitoring component of the study under a separate contract with the City. Herrera's involvement will entail the post processing of data compiled by King County to generate summary statistics (e.g., antecedent dry period, flow at time of sample collection) from the flow record for storm and base flow events that were sampled for water quality under Subtask 7.2. Herrera will also coordinate with King County to summarize the continuous flow monitoring data for each station for presentation in the data report described in Subtask 7.6.

Assumptions

- King County will make available continuous flow monitoring data in electronic format for post processing by Herrera. King County will perform a quality assurance review on these data that will clearly identify any limitations to their use and interpretation.

Deliverables

- Table with flow summary statistics for sampled storm and base flow events from 14 stations.

Subtask 7.2- Water Quality Monitoring

The water quality monitoring component of the RPWS involves the collection of up to twelve grab samples over the water year during storm events (three each quarter) at 14 stations. In addition, up to four grab samples will be collected over the water year during base flow (one each quarter) at these stations. Each sample will be analyzed for the following indicators for evaluating water quality impacts from urban development:

- Total suspended solids
- Turbidity
- Conductivity
- Hardness
- Dissolved organic carbon
- Fecal coliform bacteria
- Total phosphorus
- Total nitrogen
- Copper, total and dissolved

SCOPE OF WORK

- Zinc, total and dissolved

In addition, probes will be used for continuous in-situ monitoring of temperature at all 14 stations and conductivity at a subset of 9 stations.

Under this subtask, Herrera will oversee the collection of grab samples during both storm and base flow events. This will include the following activities that will be performed in accordance with the QAPP for the study:

- Weather tracking and go/no go decision coordination
- Mobilization of field crews for sampling during the event
- Delivery of samples to the laboratory after the event
- Auditing of laboratory analytical results within seven days of their receipt
- Entry of the analytical results into the study's data management system
- Preparation of a data validation memorandum that will establish the usability of all the data
- Preparation of graphical and tabular summaries for the data report described in Subtask 7.6

King County will oversee the continuous in-situ monitoring at each station under a separate contract with the City. Herrera will coordinate with King County to summarize these data in the data report described in Subtask 7.6

Assumptions

- Storm event sampling will be performed by two teams of two Herrera staff. Sampling for each event will be performed over an 8- hour period including travel but not including storm tracking and go/no go decision coordination. A 15 percent contingency is included to account for sampling event false starts and allow for make-up sampling.
- Nominally, all 14 stations will be sampled during each storm event. If specific stations are not sampled because a sampling event was terminated, they will be prioritized for sampling in subsequent events to ensure the annual sampling goals established for the study are met for every station.
- Base flow event sampling will be performed by one team of two Herrera staff. Sampling for each event will be performed over a 10- hour period including travel.

SCOPE OF WORK

- King County will provide continuous water quality monitoring data in an electronic format for review by Herrera. King County will perform a quality assurance review on these data that will clearly identify any limitations to their use and interpretation.
- Obtaining storm event samples may not be possible during particularly dry quarters. If this should occur, efforts will be made to conduct makeup sampling in subsequent quarters to obtain twelve grab samples from each station over the water year.

Deliverables

- Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 14 stations from 16 sampling events (12 storm event+ 4 base flow events) uploaded to the Environmental Information Management (EIM) database.
- Data validation memorandum.

Subtask 7.3 - Sediment Quality Monitoring

The sediment quality monitoring component of the RPWS involves the collection of sediment samples once during the water year at 19 monitoring stations. Each sample is analyzed for the following indicators for evaluating sediment quality impacts from urban development:

- Total organic carbon
- Copper
- Zinc
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
- Phthalates

Under this subtask, Herrera will oversee the collection of these sediment samples. This includes the following activities that will be performed in accordance with the QAPP for the study:

- Mobilization of field crews for sampling
- Delivery of samples to the laboratory after the event
- Auditing of laboratory analytical results within seven days of their receipt
- Entry of the analytical results into the study's data management system
- Preparation of a data validation memorandum that will establish the usability of all the data

SCOPE OF WORK

- Preparation of tabular summaries for the data report described in Subtask 7.6

Assumptions

- Sediment samples and the benthic macro invertebrate samples described in Subtask 7.5 will be collected during the same field visit to each station. This sample collection will be performed by one team having two Herrera staff. Collection of these samples from 3 stations will require approximately 8-hours of field time including travel.

Deliverables

- Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 19 stations.
- Data validation memorandum.

Subtask 7.4 - Physical Habitat Monitoring

Under this subtask, Herrera will conduct physical habitat monitoring for the RPWS once during the water year at 19 monitoring stations. Herrera will coordinate with Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program (EAP) for training, data management, and quality control of habitat data. At each station, the characteristic bed-form type will be recorded as a whole, and physical habitat quality indicators will be measured at 11 cross sections and one longitudinal (thalweg) profile. Pursuant to the QAPP for the study, the following indicators will be measured at each cross-section:

- Bank-full width, wetted width, and cumulative bar width
- Bank-full depth, wetted depth, substrate class and embeddedness
- Fish cover
- Riparian shading
- Riparian vegetation structure

The following indicators will be measured along the thalweg profile:

- Thalweg depth and the presence of bars and/or edge pools
- Main channel slope and bearing
- Large woody debris tally, including notation of diameter, length, category, zone, and key-pieces

SCOPE OF WORK

Upon completion of field work, physical habitat monitoring data will be uploaded to the EIM. Based on post processing of these data within this system, Ecology will provide a suite of indicators for assessing physical habitat quality that are consistent with those being used for the broader SAM program. Herrera will summarize these indicators for presentation in the data report described in Subtask 7.6.

Assumptions

- Two Herrera staff will participate in an Ecology sponsored 2-day training session on the physical habitat monitoring protocols developed for the SAM program. These staff will coordinate an additional 1-day training session for two additional Herrera staff that will be involved in the monitoring.
- Physical habitat monitoring will be performed by two teams having two Herrera staff. Physical habitat monitoring at each station will require approximately 8-hours of field time including travel.
- Data from the physical habitat monitoring will be recorded on custom forms while in the field. The custom forms will be reviewed in the field upon completion of the monitoring at each station to ensure all required data have been collected. In an office setting, data from the custom forms will then be transferred to the electronic field data collection software that has been developed by Ecology to ensure completeness in field data collection and facilitate upload of these data to Ecology's Watershed Health database in the EIM. Ecology's Environmental Assessment Program (EAP) will perform quality assurance review of the compiled physical habitat monitoring data and calculate metrics for assessing physical habitat conditions using scripts that have been developed to work with the Watershed Health database in the EIM. Costs for EAP's support for these activities are not included in the cost proposal for this scope of work.
- A delay of approximately 4 months can be expected for obtaining processed metrics for assessing physical habitat conditions from EAP via the Watershed Health database in the EIM system.

Deliverables

- Results from physical habitat monitoring at 19 stations that are uploaded to Watershed Health database in the EIM.

Subtask 7.5 - Biological Monitoring

Under this subtask, Herrera will conduct biological monitoring for the RPWS once during the water year at 19 stations. Pursuant to the QAPP for the study, this entails the collection of a composite sample of benthic macro invertebrates from specific locations along the cross-sections for physical habitat monitoring that are described in Subtask 7.4. These samples will be

SCOPE OF WORK

submitted to an analytical laboratory where they will be processed to compute the following indicators for use in evaluating stream health:

- Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity
- Taxa Richness
- Ephemeroptera Richness
- Plecoptera Richness
- Trichoptera Richness Clinger Percent
- Long-Lived Richness
- Intolerant Richness
- Percent Dominant
- Predator Percent
- Tolerant Percent

Assumptions

- Benthic macro invertebrate samples and the sediment samples described in Subtask 7.3 will be collected during the same field visit to each station. This sample collection will be performed by one team having two Herrera staff. Collection of these samples from 3 stations will require approximately 8-hours of field time including travel.
- A delay of approximately 3 months can be expected for obtaining biological metrics from the contract lab.

Deliverables

- Laboratory results from macroinvertebrate sample analysis for 19 stations entered into the Puget Sound Stream Benthos database.

Subtask 7.6- Water Year Data Summary Report

Herrera will prepare a data summary report with tabular and/or graphical summaries of all data that were collected over the water year in connection with the following monitoring components of the RPWS: hydrologic, water quality, sediment quality, physical habitat, and biological. This report will provide a detailed description of any quality assurance issues associated with these data based on results from audits and data validation memoranda. Any

SCOPE OF WORK

corrective actions that were undertaken to address quality assurance issues will also be described. Finally, this report will document all rehabilitation efforts that have occurred in the Application watersheds over the previous year. Included will be detailed information on the design and operational status of structural stormwater controls and the frequency and geographic extent of nonstructural stormwater control implementation.

Herrera will collaborate with King County to prepare a preliminary draft of the data summary report for review by the City. Based on comments received from the City, Herrera will then prepare a revised draft for review by Ecology and the technical advisory committee that has been established for the study (see Subtask 7.7). Herrera will then prepare a finalized version of the report based on comments received.

Deliverables

- Preliminary draft data summary report.
- Draft data summary report.
- Final data summary report.

Subtask 7.7 -Technical Advisory Committee Coordination

The technical advisory committee for this study includes representation from the following agencies: Ecology, King County, Kitsap County, City of Seattle, and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). Under this subtask, Herrera will coordinate and participate in up to two meetings to obtain input from the committee on technical issues related to the study over water year 2020. It is anticipated that one of these meetings may occur after the release of the data report from Subtask 7.6 to review and discuss the monitoring results from the water year. Contingency budget is also provided for a second, optional meeting to address unforeseen issues that may arise during implementation of the RPWS over the water year.

Assumptions

- Technical advisory committee meetings will last 2-hours and be attended by up to 3 Herrera staff.

Deliverables

- Meeting notes documenting discussion items and consensus decisions from the technical advisory committee.

SCOPE OF WORK

Subtask 7.8 - Project Management

Herrera will be responsible for ongoing contract administration of this project, including preparing invoices and progress reports, as well as coordination of all work efforts with the designated City point of contact and the Project Team. The Herrera project manager (John Lenth) will have phone and e-mail contact with the City on an as-needed basis.

Deliverables

- Monthly invoices and progress reports.

TASK 8.0 – WATER YEAR 2021 STUDY IMPLEMENTATION

Under this task, Herrera will implement required monitoring activities identified in the QAPP for the RPWS over water year 2021 (October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021). The activities, assumptions, and deliverables for Task 8.0 are identical to those for Task 7.0. The cost proposal for Task 8.0 is also identical to the cost proposal for Task 7.0 with the exception that it includes a 3 percent escalation factor on labor.

TASK 9.0 – WATER YEAR 2022 STUDY IMPLEMENTATION

Under this task, Herrera will implement required monitoring activities identified in the QAPP for the RPWS over water year 2022 (October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2022). The activities, assumptions, and deliverables for Task 9.0 are identical to those for Task 7.0. The cost proposal for Task 9.0 is also identical to the cost proposal for Task 7.0 with the exception that it includes a 6 percent escalation factor on labor.

TASK 10.0 – TREND ANALYSIS REPORT: WATER YEARS 2016 - 2019

Following completion of required monitoring for water year 2019 and preparation of the associated data summary report, Herrera will prepare a trend analysis report covering data collected over the first 4 years of study implementation (water years 2016 – 2019). This report will summarize results from statistical analyses performed to detect improving or degrading trends in receiving water conditions in the seven watersheds that are the focus of monitoring efforts for the RPWS. A detailed discussion of these trends will be provided with a specific emphasis on relationships between trends and rehabilitation efforts in the Application watersheds relative to trends in the Reference and Control watersheds. A summary of major conclusions from these analyses will also be provided.

SCOPE OF WORK

Statistical analyses will follow procedures that are described in the QAPP and documented in minutes from the technical advisory committee meeting that occurred on July 29, 2019. The following specific procedures will be performed in connection with these analyses:

- Correlation analyses to detect trends over time in water and sediment pollutant concentration data and computed indicators from hydrologic and biological monitoring.
- Computation of annual mass load estimates from data for a subset of parameters from water quality monitoring; correlation analyses would then be performed on these estimates to detect trends over time.
- Comparison of data from physical habitat monitoring to reference conditions from Puget Sound lowland ecoregion streams.
- Spatial statistical analysis to identified watershed characteristics that may be driving trends in computed indicators from biological monitoring.

Herrera will collaborate with King County to prepare a preliminary draft of the trend analysis report for review by the City. Based on comments received from the City, Herrera will then prepare a revised draft for review by Ecology and the technical advisory committee that has been established for the study (see Subtask 7.7). Herrera will then prepare a finalized version of the report based on comments received.

Herrera will communicate the trend findings report by creating and conducting two (2) presentations of the design results, and interim-study conclusions to permittees and stakeholders. One of these presentations will be made to the Stormwater Work Group. The other can be made at a conference with a stormwater and regional focus (e.g. MuniCon), upon agreement with the City and Ecology (SAM Coordinator). Herrera will also create a SAM fact sheet for distribution on the SAM website.

Assumptions

- Comments on the draft and revised draft trend analysis reports will be provided using a standardized template to be provided by Herrera.
- Herrera will spend up to 40 hours compiling or digitizing spatial datasets (such as the spatial extent of management actions in the larger watersheds draining to the study monitoring locations) to support the spatial statistical analysis.

Deliverables

- Preliminary draft trend analysis report.
- Draft trend analysis report.

SCOPE OF WORK

- Final trend analysis report.
- Two presentations on study design and findings to date.
- SAM factsheet on project findings to date.

TASK 11.0 –TREND ANALYSIS REPORT: WATER YEARS 2016 - 2021

Following completion of required monitoring for water year 2021 and preparation of the associated data summary report, Herrera will prepare a trend analysis report covering data collected over the first 6 years of study implementation (water years 2016 – 2021). The activities, assumptions, and deliverables for Task 11.0 are identical to those for Task 10.0. The cost proposal for Task 11.0 is also identical to the cost proposal for Task 10.0 with the exception that it includes a 6 percent escalation factor on labor.

EXHIBIT B: PAYMENT SCHEDULE
Redmond Paired Basin Retrofit Effectiveness monitoring Implementation - Water Years 2020 - 2022

Task/Deliverable	Target Completion Date	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total by Deliverable
Task 7.0 – Water Year 2020 Study Implementation				
<i>Subtask 7.1 – Hydrologic Monitoring</i>				
Table with flow summary statistics for sampled storm and base flow events from 14 stations	Jan 2021	1	\$ 7,790	\$ 7,790
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 7,790
<i>Subtask 7.2 – Water Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 14 stations x 16 sampling events		12	\$ 8,180	\$ 98,160
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2020	1	\$ 14,500	\$ 14,500
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 112,660
<i>Subtask 7.3 – Sediment Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 19 stations		1	\$ 17,100	\$ 17,100
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2020	1	\$ 4,280	\$ 4,280
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 21,380
<i>Subtask 7.4 – Physical Habitat Monitoring</i>				
Results from physical habitat monitoring at 19 stations	Sep 2020	1	\$ 64,500	\$ 64,500
				\$ 64,500
<i>Subtask 7.5 – Biological Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory results from macroinvertebrate sample analysis for 19 stations	Sep 2020	1	\$ 15,400	\$ 15,400
				\$ 15,400
<i>Subtask 7.6 – Water Year Data Summary Report</i>				
Draft data summary report	Feb 2021	1	\$ 19,400	\$ 19,400
Final data summary report	Apr 2021	1	\$ 4,860	\$ 4,860
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 24,260
<i>Subtask 7.7 – Technical Advisory Committee Coordination</i>				
Meeting notes documenting discussion items and consensus decisions	Mar 2021	2	\$ 1,550	\$ 3,100
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 3,100
<i>Subtask 7.8 – Project Management</i>				
Monthly invoices and progress reports	Sep 2020	9	\$ 1,630	\$ 14,670
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 14,670
Task Total				\$ 263,760

EXHIBIT B: PAYMENT SCHEDULE
Redmond Paired Basin Retrofit Effectiveness monitoring Implementation - Water Years 2020 - 2022

Task/Deliverable	Target Completion Date	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total by Deliverable
Task 8.0 – Water Year 2021 Study Implementation				
<i>Subtask 8.1 – Hydrologic Monitoring</i>				
Table with flow summary statistics for sampled storm and base flow events from 14 stations	Jan 2022	1	\$ 8,020	\$ 8,020
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 8,020
<i>Subtask 8.2 – Water Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 14 stations x 16 sampling events		16	\$ 8,320	\$ 133,120
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2021	1	\$ 14,800	\$ 14,800
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 147,920
<i>Subtask 8.3 – Sediment Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 19 stations		1	\$ 17,400	\$ 17,400
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2021	1	\$ 4,360	\$ 4,360
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 21,760
<i>Subtask 8.4 – Physical Habitat Monitoring</i>				
Results from physical habitat monitoring at 19 stations	Sep 2021	1	\$ 66,300	\$ 66,300
				\$ 66,300
<i>Subtask 8.5 – Biological Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory results from macroinvertebrate sample analysis for 19 stations	Sep 2021	1	\$ 15,700	\$ 15,700
				\$ 15,700
<i>Subtask 8.6 – Water Year Data Summary Report</i>				
Draft data summary report	Feb 2022	1	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Final data summary report	Apr 2022	1	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 25,000
<i>Subtask 8.7 – Technical Advisory Committee Coordination</i>				
Meeting notes documenting discussion items and consensus decisions	Mar 2022	2	\$ 1,600	\$ 3,200
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 3,200
<i>Subtask 8.8 – Project Management</i>				
Monthly invoices and progress reports	Sep 2021	12	\$ 1,680	\$ 20,160
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 20,160
Task Total				\$ 308,060

EXHIBIT B: PAYMENT SCHEDULE
Redmond Paired Basin Retrofit Effectiveness monitoring Implementation - Water Years 2020 - 2022

Task/Deliverable	Target Completion Date	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total by Deliverable
Task 9.0 – Water Year 2022 Study Implementation				
<i>Subtask 9.1 – Hydrologic Monitoring</i>				
Table with flow summary statistics for sampled storm and base flow events from 14 stations	Jan 2023	1	\$ 8,240	\$ 8,240
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 8,240
<i>Subtask 9.2 – Water Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 14 stations x 16 sampling events		16	\$ 8,460	\$ 135,360
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2022	1	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 150,360
<i>Subtask 9.3 – Sediment Quality Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory analytical results and documentation of Herrera audits for 19 stations		1	\$ 17,800	\$ 17,800
Data validation memorandum	Sep 2022	1	\$ 4,440	\$ 4,440
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 22,240
<i>Subtask 9.4 – Physical Habitat Monitoring</i>				
Results from physical habitat monitoring at 19 stations	Sep 2022	1	\$ 68,200	\$ 68,200
				\$ 68,200
<i>Subtask 9.5 – Biological Monitoring</i>				
Laboratory results from macroinvertebrate sample analysis for 19 stations	Sep 2022	1	\$ 15,900	\$ 15,900
				\$ 15,900
<i>Subtask 9.6 – Water Year Data Summary Report</i>				
Draft data summary report	Feb 2023	1	\$ 20,600	\$ 20,600
Final data summary report	Apr 2023	1	\$ 5,140	\$ 5,140
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 25,740
<i>Subtask 9.7 – Technical Advisory Committee Coordination</i>				
Meeting notes documenting discussion items and consensus decisions	Mar 2023	2	\$ 1,650	\$ 3,300
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 3,300
<i>Subtask 9.8 – Project Management</i>				
Monthly invoices and progress reports	Sep 2022	12	\$ 1,730	\$ 20,760
<i>Subtask Total</i>				\$ 20,760
Task Total				\$ 314,740

EXHIBIT B: PAYMENT SCHEDULE

Redmond Paired Basin Retrofit Effectiveness monitoring Implementation - Water Years 2020 - 2022

Task/Deliverable	Target Completion Date	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total by Deliverable
Task 10.0 – Trend Analysis Report: Water Years 2016 - 2019				
Draft data analysis report	Jun 2020	1	\$ 38,800	\$ 38,800
Final data analysis report	Aug 2020	1	\$ 9,700	\$ 9,700
Stormwater Work Group and Conference Presentations	Sep - Dec 2020	2	\$ 1,600	\$ 3,200
Fact Sheet	Sep 2020	1	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100
Task Total				\$ 52,800
Task 11.0 – Trend Analysis Report: Water Years 2016 - 2021				
Draft data analysis report	Jun 2022	1	\$ 39,900	\$ 39,900
Final data analysis report	Aug 2022	1	\$ 9,980	\$ 9,980
Stormwater Work Group and Conference Presentations	Sep - Dec 2022	2	\$ 1,650	\$ 3,300
Fact Sheet	Sep 2022	1	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,100
Task Total				\$ 54,280
Project Total				\$ 993,640