

City of Redmond

15670 NE 85th Street Redmond, WA

Memorandum

Date: 11/19/2024 Meeting of: Committee of the Whole - Pul	File No. CM 24-544 Type: Committee Memo		
TO: Committee of the Whole - Public Safe FROM: Mayor Angela Birney DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR CONTACT(S):	ety and Human Services		
Executive	Malisa Files, Chief Operating Officer 425-5		425-556-2166
DEPARTMENT STAFF:			
N/A	N/A N/A		
OVERVIEW STATEMENT: In September, Council proposed a resolution party of interest may submit a permit req Committee of the Whole on November which are outlined in Attachment A. The Council Background Information	uest to temporarily place pro 4, 2024, Council asked staff Council's original policy prop	otective m to look a osal can be	easures in the public right of way. At the t the resolution for any suggested edit
REQUESTED ACTION:			
☐ Receive Information	☑ Provide Direction	□ Арр	rove
REQUEST RATIONALE:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ent Jessica Forsythe and co-s	s a result o	by Councilmember Steve Fields. f multiple vehicles being used to commi ive structures in the City's right-of-way.

The City of Redmond Right of Way permit process is governed by Redmond Municipal Code 12.08.010 and 12.12.080.

Date: 11/19/2024 File No. CM 24-544

Meeting of: Committee of the Whole - Public Safety and Human Services Type: Committee Memo

Also considered in any situation is the federal, state and local laws setting standards for public safety, accessibility and infrastructure when placing barriers in the City's right-of-way. The City of Redmond standards and regulations are defined by the desired outcome of increased safety for pedestrians and bicyclists. The reference documents used include the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). Key references include The Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD) that defines the standards used to install and maintain traffic control devices on all streets, highways, pedestrian and bicycle facilities, and site roadways open to public travel and the AASHTO Roadside Design Guide that is a synthesis of current information and operating practices to roadside safety. It focuses on safety treatments that can minimize the likelihood of serious injuries when a motorist leaves the roadway.

The Revised Code of Washington (RCW) <u>58.17</u> https://app.leg.wa.gov/RCW/default.aspx?cite=58.17 also provides regulations in connection with development and improvements to promote public health, safety and general welfare in accordance with standards established by the state to prevent the overcrowding of land; to promote effective use of land; and to promote safe and convenient travel by the public on streets and highways. All of these standards are taken into consideration when evaluating the City's right-of-way.

Per Council's request, the attached resolution (Attachment A) has been redlined for changes Council may want to consider. The proposed changes to the resolution are outlined below, including:

- Added a "whereas" statement to recognize the standards the City uses for right-of-way management.
- In Section 1(A) the language includes creation of a plan, however the City already has a plan/process for
 permitting right of way use and modifications through right of way use permits under RMC 12.08 Street
 Repairs, Improvements, Alterations, and Business Use and RMC 12.12.080 Required Improvements for
 Buildings and Developments. The recommendation would change the resolution language to improve and
 provide clarity to the current process for right-of-way use rather than create a new process.
- In Section 1(B)(b), (c), and (d) includes language that the plan "shall at minimum provide" certain things such as the placement of barriers. The language is concerning as there are many factors that will go into the evaluation for each possible location and whether the placement of barriers or deterrents is possible. As a result of ADA, bike and pedestrian safety standards, uniform traffic control device standards, the cost of moving existing utility underground infrastructure, vehicular safety, etcetera, there is a possibility that a particular location cannot have barriers or deterrents placed in the right-of-way.
- Section 1(B)(d) is written to require an "approval process" for barriers or deterrents not included in the proposed plan. The language has been revised to provide for the improvement of the current deviation request process and review and consideration of other options. An "approval process" implies a barrier or deterrent will, by default, be approved. The revised language allows for other possibilities to be considered against established criteria.
- Section 2 has been deleted as any permit application will be processed under the current code and standards. The possible continuing policy work would not be a reason to make a decision on a pending application.

Staff will be at the Public Safety and Human Services Committee of the Whole, to answer any questions the Council may have.

Date: 11/19/2024 Meeting of: Comr	nittee of the Whole - Public	File No. CM 24-544 Type: Committee M			
COMMUNITY/STA	KEHOLDER OUTREACH AN	ID INVOLVEME	ENT:		
N/A • Outreach N/A	previous or planned): Methods and Results: Summary:				
BUDGET IMPACT:					
Total Cost: N/A					
Approved in curre	ent biennial budget:	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	
Budget Offer Num N/A	nber:				
Budget Priority : N/A					
Other budget imp If yes, explain: N/A	acts or additional costs:	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	
Funding source(s) N/A	:				
Budget/Funding C N/A	Constraints:				
☐ Additional	budget details attached				
COUNCIL REVIEW	:				
Previous Contact(s)				
Date	Meeting			Requested Action	
11/4/2024	Committee of the Who	Committee of the Whole - Planning and Public Works			
Proposed Upcomi	ng Contact(s)				
Date	Meeting			Requested Action	\neg
N/A	None proposed at this time			N/A	7

Time Constraints:

Memo

Date: 11/19/2024 File No. CM 24-544

Meeting of: Committee of the Whole - Public Safety and Human Services Type: Committee Memo

N/A

ANTICIPATED RESULT IF NOT APPROVED:

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment A: Proposed Resolution with Redline and Comments

Attachment B: Council Policy Proposal